

UTTG-32-93

December 1993

On Black Hole Singularities in Quantum Gravity[★]

JORGE G. RUSSO

*Theory Group, Department of Physics, University of Texas
Austin, TX 78712*

ABSTRACT

We show that absence of space-like boundaries in 1+1 dimensional dilaton gravity implies a catastrophic event at the end point of black hole evaporation. The proof is completely independent of the physics at Planck scales, which suggests that the same will occur in any theory of quantum gravity which only admits trivial space-time topologies.

PACS Categories: 04.60+.n, 12.25.+e, 97.60.Lf

★ Work supported in part by NSF grant PHY 9009850 and R. A. Welch Foundation.

For some time it was widely believed that the classical theory of general relativity does not predict singularities, and that they were just an artifact of the high degree of symmetry of spherical solutions. A slight perturbation to spherical collapse would produce nonsingular “bouncing” solutions, as occurs in the Newtonian theory of gravity. This idea was abandoned after the appearance of the singularity theorems by Hawking and Penrose [1]. They showed that a curvature singularity in the circumstance of gravitational collapse is inevitable provided the energy-momentum tensor of matter satisfies a positivity condition. The hope that remained is that quantum effects might prevent the singularity from occurring or might smear it out in some way, and the problem would be absent in a full quantum treatment.

In the case of black hole formation, the singularity predicted by classical gravity represents a space-like boundary of the Universe. The standard picture of black hole formation and evaporation [2] is described by a Penrose diagram as indicated in fig. 1. If no curvature singularity is actually present in the full quantum theory, it is plausible that the topology will simply be equivalent to that of Minkowski space-time, as shown in fig. 2, since nothing would prevent matter to propagate towards the future null infinity. This is the basic premise of geodesic completeness. If the space-like boundary persisted there would be geodesics with finite affine length in one direction and the space-time would be geodesically incomplete, which entails a serious physical pathology. In fig. 2 there is no global horizon and, in principle, a unitary evolution described by an S -matrix is expected. A recent study of this scenario in the context of dilaton-gravity is given in ref. [3], where it is implemented through non-perturbative corrections. Let us note that it is not impossible that there is a space-like boundary and nevertheless no curvature singularity. Near strong curvature regions, matter might stop propagating because, e.g., the theory becomes “topological”. This would still be regarded as a singular space-time according to standard definitions in general relativity. Other variants are, for example, that the space-time boundary effectively subsists because there is a whormhole connecting to other world, or there is multiple formation of baby universes, etc. As far as the information problem is concerned, all these scenarios are equivalent to the case with curvature singularity of fig. 1, inasmuch as there *is* a problem of information loss; in the case of fig. 2 information can simply reappear by reflection on the boundary [3] (we shall return on this later).

We would like to explore the consequences of starting from the following postulates:

- 1) *The final state after black hole evaporation is the vacuum (“CPT invariance” condition).*
- 2) *The space-time topology for black hole evaporation is that of Minkowski space-*

time (absence of “singularities” in full quantum theory).

Most quantum field theorists will find these postulates to be a *sine qua non*. In particular, they provide a natural framework to define a unitary S-matrix and maintain quantum coherence. However, we shall see that, under these assumptions, a catastrophic event at the end point of Hawking evaporation is inevitable. It is an outgoing shock-wave propagating at the speed of light and carrying Planck scalar curvature. This is a smoother version of what was referred by Hawking as a “thunderbolt singularity” (a singularity which spreads out to infinity on a space-like or null path).

Let us consider quantum gravity in 1+1 dimensions. A simplified model for black hole formation and evaporation, known as the CGHS model, was introduced in ref. [4]. This model permits to study the Hawking phenomenon in detail including back reaction, avoiding all the mathematical complications of higher-dimensional theories (for reviews and references therein see ref. [5]). Let us consider the model introduced in ref. [6]. The effective action which includes the anomaly term can be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} [e^{-2\phi} (R + 4(\nabla\phi)^2 + 4\lambda^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\nabla f_i)^2 - \frac{\kappa}{4} (R \frac{1}{\nabla^2} R + 2\phi R)] , \quad (1)$$

where f_i are N conformal fields and $\kappa = (N - 24)/12 > 0$. For the purposes of this paper, the classical part is actually suffices, but we will often keep track of the first quantum corrections for clarity in the derivation. In the conformal gauge $g_{++} = g_{--} = 0$, $g_{+-} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{2\rho}$, the effective action containing the conformal anomaly can be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d^2x [-\partial_+\chi\partial_-\chi + \partial_+\Omega\partial_-\Omega + \lambda^2 e^{(2/\sqrt{\kappa})(\chi-\Omega)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_+f_i\partial_-f_i] , \quad (2)$$

where $\Omega = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{2}\phi + \frac{e^{-2\phi}}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$, $\chi - \Omega = \sqrt{\kappa}(\rho - \phi)$. The constraints are

$$\kappa t_{\pm}(x^{\pm}) = -\partial_{\pm}\chi\partial_{\pm}\chi + \partial_{\pm}\Omega\partial_{\pm}\Omega + \sqrt{\kappa}\partial_{\pm}^2\chi + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{\pm}f_i\partial_{\pm}f_i . \quad (3)$$

The functions $t_{\pm}(x^{\pm})$ reflect the non-local nature of the anomaly and are determined by boundary conditions. The solution to the semi-classical equations of motion and the constraints, for general distributions of incoming matter, is given

in Kruskal coordinates by

$$\Omega = \chi = -\frac{\lambda^2}{\sqrt{\kappa}}x^+(x^- + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}P_+(x^+)) + \frac{M(x^+)}{\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda} - \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{4}\ln(-\lambda^2x^+x^-), \quad (4)$$

where $M(x^+)$ and $P_+(x^+)$ respectively represent total energy and Kruskal momentum of the incoming matter at advanced time x^+ : $M(x^+) = \lambda \int_0^{x^+} dx^+ x^+ T_{++}(x^+)$, $P_+(x^+) = \int_0^{x^+} dx^+ T_{++}(x^+)$. In the case $T_{++} = 0$ one obtains the familiar linear dilaton vacuum, $e^{-2\phi} = e^{-2\rho} = -\lambda^2 x^+ x^-$.

Let us assume that originally the geometry is the linear dilaton vacuum and at some time, which we arbitrarily set at $x^+ = 1/\lambda$, the incoming flux is turned on. As observed in ref. [6], there are two different regimes, according to whether the incoming matter energy-momentum tensor is less or greater than a critical flux $T_{++}^{\text{cr}}(x^+) = \kappa/(4x^{+2})$. In the supercritical regime the boundary line $\phi = \phi_{\text{cr}}$ is space-like and one has a time-dependent geometry representing the process of formation and evaporation of a black hole. At the null line corresponding to the end point of black hole evaporation, $x^- = x_e^-$, $x^+ > x_e^+$, it is possible to match the solution continuously with the linear dilaton vacuum. In the subcritical regime the boundary is time-like and one needs boundary conditions in order to determine the evolution in the region in causal contact with the time-like boundary. It turns out that there are natural, reflecting-type boundary conditions which uniquely determine the evolution and implement cosmic censorship hypothesis [6].

In weak coupling regions $e^{2\phi}$ the curvature scalar, $R = 8e^{-2\rho}\partial_+\partial_-\rho$, is given by

$$R = 16e^{-2\phi}\partial_+\phi\partial_-\phi + 4\lambda^2. \quad (5)$$

Now let us consider the case of formation of a macroscopic black hole and make use of postulate 2. The Kruskal diagram can be divided in several regions, as shown in fig. 3. The boundary of the trapped region is the apparent horizon defined as the locus of $\partial_+\phi\partial_-\phi$ (see ref. [3]). We would like to prove that asymptotic observers at $\mathcal{J}^+$ will necessarily experience planckian physics before entering into the vacuum region V (and thus they will not survive to report the result of their experiment). Region II can be defined as the region where the coupling $e^{2\phi}$ is smaller than, say, 10^{-10} , which is not in the causal future of regions with higher couplings, so that the semi-classical solution is indeed reliable in this region. At the dividing line $x^- = x_0^-$ the coupling takes the value 10^{-10} at some x^+ (which for black holes sufficiently large it will have to be near x_e^+). For a given $x^- < x_0^-$ and x^+ large

the solution approaches to the classical form

$$e^{-2\rho} = e^{-2\phi} = -\lambda^2 x^+ (x^- + p) + \frac{m}{\lambda} , \quad (6)$$

where m and p are the asymptotic values of $M(x^+)$ and $P_+(x^+)/\lambda^2$. Region II is in causal contact with strong coupling region so the solution (6) no longer applies. There are two possibilities: either the solution is discontinuous at some x_1^- in region II, which would imply infinite (Planck) curvature in this region (see below), or it is a continuous function of x^- . We proceed *per absurdum*. We must assume that the coupling $e^{2\phi}$ is small for every x^- at $\mathcal{J}^+$. This is for the following reason. In virtue of postulate 1, at late retarded times x^- the solution approaches to the vacuum. If there were a region of strong coupling in between, this would already signify a catastrophic event. Since we are proceeding *per absurdum*, we assume that no catastrophe occurs for asymptotic observers and thus $e^{2\phi}$ must be small for every x^- at $\mathcal{J}^+$. This means that for large x^+ , i.e. $x^+ \gg x_e^+$, we can apply the semi-classical equations of motion, the most general solution being

$$e^{-2\rho} = e^{-2\phi} = -\lambda^2 x^+ (x^- + p) + \frac{m}{\lambda} + h_+(x^+) + h_-(x^-) , \quad (7)$$

where $h_{\pm}(x^{\pm})$ are two arbitrary functions to be determined by additional conditions. But continuity requires that $h_+(x^+) = 0$ as $x^+ \rightarrow \infty$. Now, it is clear that if the solution has the form (7) with $h_+(x^+) = 0$, at $x^- = -p + \epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$, the coupling $e^{2\phi}$ will become strong at large x^+ . But this is contrary to our hypothesis. Therefore we conclude that either $e^{2\phi}$ must have a discontinuity at some point in region II, or it must be strong near $x^- \cong -p$. Both cases represent a catastrophic event. In the first case, since, for a given x^+ , $\partial_+ \phi$ and $e^{-2\phi}$ take finite values on all x^- in region II, and there exists a point x_1^- where $\partial_- \phi = \infty$, from the expression for the scalar curvature we learn that $R = \infty$ in the semi-classical approximation, which means that the curvature must assume at least planckian values.

There are a number of issues which arise some concern. From a physical point of view it seems weird that a so small planckian object, weighting less than 10^{-5} grams, can be capable of generating an apocalyptic event as such. The second question is whether one can actually have a discontinuity (even if smoothed out at Planck scales) of this sort, and why it would occur. These two points are related. The necessary discontinuity must be of the form

$$h_+(x^+) = \lambda^2 x^+ (p - v) , \quad (8)$$

where v is not much greater than the Planck length, $v < O(1/\lambda)$ (it may be zero or even negative). Such a discontinuity at $x_1^- \sim x_e^-$ can occur if, in the strong coupling

region, there is an ingoing flux of negative energy; for example, an imploding shock wave at the endpoint of the trapped region which forms simultaneously with the outgoing thunderbolt. This statement is solely based on our classical intuition, and any quantitative detail shall depend upon the unknown physics of the Planck scale. At this stage, we could well consider the discussion on the ingoing flux finished. Nevertheless, let us proceed, but aware of the limitations of the semiclassical approach, and explicitly evaluate the strenght of this ingoing wave by using the constraint equations. Disregarding the quantum corrections, one can integrate eq. (3) and obtain

$$h'_+(x^+) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^{x^+} dx^+ \partial_+ f_i \partial_+ f_i, \quad (9)$$

or $\int_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^{x^+} dx^+ T_{++}(x^+) = -\lambda^2(p-v)$. This is of the same magnitude of the original incoming Kruskal momentum. Therefore the discontinuity seems to be due to an ingoing flux of negative energy whose absolute value is of the same order of the original black hole mass. Clearly, this is a very rough estimate, since near x_e^+, x_e^- the solution will undoubtedly be very different from (7). The form of $T_{++}(x^+)$ depends on the details of the transition from the trapped region to $x^+ > x_e^+$. In particular, an ingoing shock wave emitted at x_e^+, x_e^- would correspond to having $h_+(x^+) \cong x^+(p-v)\theta(x^+ - x_e^+)$, $x^- > x_e^-$ (the fact that this type of dependence on x^- subsists even in the weak coupling region $x^+ \gg x_e^+$ is because region II is in the causal future of a strong coupling region, $x^- \cong x_e^-$). Alternatively, the transition might be smooth, with a continuous ingoing flux of negative energy being emanated from the strong coupling region near the inner apparent horizon, where $h_{\pm}$ should be replaced by a more complicated structure (some toy models can be found in ref. [3]).

The outgoing thunderbolt is analogous to a bubble wall separating a false vacuum, given by $e^{-2\phi} = -\lambda^2 x^+(x^- + p)$, from the true final vacuum given by $e^{-2\phi} = -\lambda^2 x^+(x^- + v)$. In the first case the Fock space vacuum $|0\rangle_{\text{out},p}$ is defined as the state which is annihilated by the negative frequency modes with respect to plane waves constructed in terms of the coordinate $e^{\lambda\sigma^-} = -\lambda(x^- + p)$ (see e.g. ref. [7]), while in the second case the relevant coordinate defining $|0\rangle_{\text{out},v}$ is $e^{\lambda\tau^-} = -\lambda(x^- + v)$, as discussed in ref. [3], and $e^{\lambda\sigma^+} = e^{\lambda\tau^+} = \lambda x^+$. The false vacuum is thermally populated with respect to the true vacuum and they are related by a Bogoluvob transform.

The issue of energy-conservation is subtle because it is not clear what energy we should attribute to the thunderbolt. The curvature being of Planckian order,

our classical description of gravitation and matter cannot be expected to remain valid under these extreme conditions. In particular, any detector that we can devise will be destroyed. Inside the “bubble” there should be a combination of negative energy density with positive energy corresponding to the collapsed matter which will finally comes out carrying the information back. The “Schwarzschild” observers who have calibrated their detectors with the false vacuum, $|0\rangle_{\text{out},p}$, i.e. by demanding ${}_{p,\text{out}}\langle 0|T_{--}^{(i)}|0\rangle_{\text{out},p} = 0$, will measure the usual outgoing flux of Hawking radiation in region I and receive a total energy of the same order of the black hole ADM mass:

$${}_{\text{in}}\langle 0|T_{--}^{(i)}|0\rangle_{\text{in}} = \frac{\kappa}{4} \left[\frac{1}{(x^- + p)^2} - \frac{1}{x^{-2}} \right], \quad E^{(i)} = -\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{x_0^-} dx^- (x^- + p) T_{--}^{(i)}(x^-) \cong m. \quad (10)$$

Observers who measure energy with respect to the true final vacuum, $|0\rangle_{\text{out},v}$, by demanding ${}_{v,\text{out}}\langle 0|T_{--}^{(i)}|0\rangle_{\text{out},v} = 0$, will receive a very weak flux of Hawking radiation in region I [3],

$${}_{\text{in}}\langle 0|T_{--}^{(i)}|0\rangle_{\text{in}} = \frac{\kappa}{4} \left[\frac{1}{(x^- + v)^2} - \frac{1}{x^{-2}} \right]. \quad (11)$$

The ingoing flux of negative energy explains why a presumptive planckian-size object can engender such a violent event on an astronomical scale. The presence of negative energy is problematic; it threatens the stability of the vacuum, especially if negative energy is reflected out affecting asymptotic observers. Nonetheless, given the vacuum transition, it is not clear that this ingoing flux will necessarily convey negative energy into $\mathcal{J}^+$ after the bouncing.

Now let us consider quantum gravity in 3+1 dimensions. Any realistic quantum theory of gravity must have Einstein gravity as classical limit. Our discussion in the previous section only entails classical regions, and how to patch them together. Therefore, in reproducing the previous analysis by using Einstein equations, one would obtain a result valid for any theory of quantum gravity in 3+1 dimensions which satisfies postulates 1 and 2. Unfortunately, the general solution to Einstein equations coupled to matter is unknown. Nevertheless, we hope that the mathematical complexity of the 3+1 dimensional theory can be somehow circumvented and, perhaps, a general “quantum” singularity theorem is attainable. Here we only discuss the general idea. The radial null geodesics of the Schwarzschild metric satisfy $t = \pm r_* + \text{const.}$, where r_* is the tortoise coordinate defined by

$$r_* = r + 2M \log \left(\frac{r}{2M} - 1 \right). \quad (12)$$

In the Hawking’s model of gravitational collapse [2], the *in* modes are introduced

by using plane waves constructed in terms of $r+t$, $r-t$, whereas for the *out* modes the relevant coordinates are r_*+t , r_*-t . The Kruskal coordinates U, V are given by

$$2Me^{\frac{r_*}{2M}} = (r-2M)e^{\frac{r}{2M}} = -V(U+2M) \ , \quad e^{\frac{t}{2M}} = -\frac{V}{U+2M} \ . \quad (13)$$

According to postulate 2, the Kruskal diagram of the time-dependent geometry is as in fig. 3. This means that, instead of r_*, t , the asymptotic coordinates for the *out* observers R_*, T will have to be of the form

$$2Me^{\frac{R_*}{2M}} = -V(U+v) \ , \quad e^{\frac{T}{2M}} = -\frac{V}{U+v} \ , \quad (14)$$

where $v < O(l_P)$. For the reasons described in the previous section, one expects that there should be a region of planckian curvatures before entering into the vacuum region. In fact, the assumption that the curvature is weak in region II at $\mathcal{J}^+$ should again lead to a contradiction. What we need is a proof that any classical solution in region II that is continuously matched with the Schwarzschild geometry in region I has either a curvature singularity or a boundary, at some $U \cong -2M$, for any given $V \gg V_e$ (the idea is that for classical and continuous geometries the asymptotic value of U should be determined by the incoming matter; it is only if there is a strong curvature region spreading out to infinity that we can in principle have something different than $U \cong -2M$). Now, the possibility of a boundary must be excluded by postulate 2. On the other hand, a discontinuity in the metric as a function of U also begets a curvature singularity at some $U \cong U_e$. Postulate 2 requires that this curvature singularity can somehow be continued through due to quantum corrections. Again one would be led to conclude that in a quantum theory of gravity that satisfies postulates 1 and 2 a thunderbolt carrying planckian curvature at $U \cong U_e$ is inevitable.

The arguments in these paper only hold for macroscopic black holes, i.e., for black holes whose masses are much larger than the Planck masses, where the semi-classical methods are applicable. In particular, virtual, Planck-size black holes formed as intermediate states in low-energy scattering processes may well evaporate completely in a smooth way. Only large black holes will occasion a violent event at the endpoint in this scenario dictated by postulates 1 and 2, but they cannot be virtual because Planck scale is a cut-off in loop integrals.

To conclude, we have seen in 1+1 dimensions that, if quantum gravity removes the black hole singularity leaving a time-like boundary, an outgoing shock wave producing planckian curvatures will emerge at the end point of black hole evaporation. In addition, semi-classical arguments suggest that at the same time there must be an imploding wave carrying macroscopic amounts of negative energy.

There is a danger of negative energy being reflected out, which arises some doubts about vacuum stability and thus about the consistency of the full picture. The singularity problem, as well as the information problem, seem to be resolved at a too high price. The scenario may nevertheless be viable, as we were unable to find a clear inconsistency. Assuming the present result holds true in 3+1 dimensions, one could easily establish whether there is a singularity inside an evaporating black hole with no need of going in: if we survive (e.g. if only a mild shock wave -a thunderpop- is emitted at the endpoint of evaporation), then topology change will have inexorably taken place.

There is a widespread belief that in superstring theory singularities should be absent, since space-time loses its meaning for distances less than the string size. One expectation is, in fact, that gravitational collapse in string theory should be described by a Minkowski topology. Unfortunately, the arguments of this paper would equally apply to this case, and a catastrophe would likely occur at the moment the evaporation is completed.

Irrespective of what is physics at Planck scales, a formulation of quantum gravity in which only trivial topologies are admissible is surprisingly beset by acute problems.

The author wishes to thank A. Linde and L. Susskind for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

1. R. Penrose, Phys. Rev. Lett. 14 (1965) 57; S. W. Hawking, Proc. R. Soc. London A294 (1966) 511; A295 (1966) 460; A300 (1967) 187; S. W. Hawking and R. Penrose, Proc. R. Soc. London A314 (1970) 529.
2. S. W. Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys. 43 (1975) 199; Phys. Rev. D14 (1976) 2460.
3. J.G. Russo, *Quantum black holes: non-perturbative corrections and no-veil scenario*, preprint UTTG-22-93 (1993).
4. C. G. Callan, S. B. Giddings, J. A. Harvey and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D45 (1992) R1005.
5. J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, *Quantum aspects of black holes*, preprint EFI-92-41; S.B. Giddings, preprint UCSBTH-92-36; D.N. Page, University of Alberta preprint, Alberta-Thy-23-93, (1993).
6. J. G. Russo, L. Susskind and L. Thorlacius, Phys. Rev. D46 (1992) 3444; Phys. Rev. D47 (1993) 533.

7. S. B. Giddings and W. Nelson, Phys.Rev. D46 (1992) 2486.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1: Standard semi-classical picture of black hole evaporation.

Fig. 2: Black hole evaporation described by a topologically trivial Lorentzian metric.

Fig. 3: Kruskal diagram of black hole evaporation in the scenario implied by postulates I and II.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/gr-qc/9312009v1>